# A Formal Treatment of End-to-End Encrypted Cloud Storage

Matilda Backendal<sup>1</sup>, Hannah Davis<sup>2</sup>, Felix Günther<sup>3</sup>, Miro Haller<sup>4</sup>, Kenny Paterson<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>ETH Zurich, <sup>2</sup>Seagate Technology, <sup>3</sup>IBM Research Zurich, <sup>4</sup>UC San Diego

Apple, October 15, 2024

Privacy is a fundamental human right. It's also one of our core values. Which is why we design our products and services to protect it. That's the kind of innovation we believe in.

Screenshot from https://www.apple.com/privacy/

### Why E2E Security?

### Why Provable Security?



Logos from https://bridgefy.me/, fr.logodownload.org, vecteezy.com, https://threema.ch/en/press & https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:IMessage\_logo.svgSec urity analysis of the iMessage PQ3 protocol

### Why Provable Security?



Logos from https://bridgefy.me/, fr.logodownload.org, vecteezy.com, https://threema.ch/en/press & https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:IMessage\_logo.svgSec urity analysis of the iMessage PQ3 protocol

5

# Is iCloud with Advanced Data Protection E2E Secure?

0

### **E2EE Cloud Storage Providers**





"FREE, ENCRYPTED, AND SECURE CLOUD STORAGE. YOUR PRIVACY, SECURED BY MATH" **Proton Drive** 



"THE STRONGEST ENCRYPTED CLOUD STORAGE IN THE WORLD"



### **MEGA Case Study**

#### MEGA's key hierarchy\*



#### ASIDE: GETTING AWAY FROM PW REQUIRES ADDITIONAL ASSUMPTIONS (E.G., TRUSTED KEY STORAGE ON iPhone) MEGA's challenges Stateless clients SECURITY DEPENDS ON PW STRENGTH 2 File re-encryption REPLACING AES-CCM > 180 DAYS 3 Ciphertext integrity ENABLES ATTACKS IN [1, 2] $\rightarrow$ File sharing 4 RSA SECRET KEY DECRYPTION [2]

[1] Matilda Backendal, Miro Haller<sup>\*</sup> and Kenneth G. Paterson. (2023). "MEGA: Malleable Encryption Goes Awry" IEEE S&P 2023.

FILE KEY DECRYPTION [1]

[2] Martin R. Albrecht, Miro Haller, Lenka Mareková\*, Kenneth G. Paterson. (2023). "Caveat Implementor! Key Recovery Attacks on MEGA" Eurocrypt 2023.

5

Key reuse

### **E2EE Cloud Storage Providers**



### Why Is It Hard?



### Why Is It Hard?



### Why Is It Hard?



### **Our Work**

Formal Model for E2EE Cloud Storage

- Core functionality ۲ → Syntax & correctness
- Security notions •
- Provably secure protocol ۲



#### Goal:

- Secure data at rest
- ...with maximal functionality



 $\equiv$ 

 $\equiv$ 

#### Methods:

- Server-side encryption
  - + Plaintext access -> features
  - Plaintext access -> less privacy

### Goal:

- Secure data at rest
- ...with maximal functionality
- ...and strong privacy

### Methods:

- Server-side encryption •
  - Plaintext access -> features +
  - Plaintext access -> less privacy \_
- End-to-end encryption •
  - +
  - No plaintext access -> privacy No plaintext access -> less features?



In scope:





#### In scope:

Provable security







#### In scope:

Provable security

Multi-device access













#### In scope:

Provable security

Multi-device access

File sharing

#### Out of scope:

Availability

Deduplication

Searchable encryption







#### In scope:

Provable security

Multi-device access

File sharing

#### Out of scope:

Availability

Deduplication

Searchable encryption

Collaborative editing

Advanced Security

- Metadata & access pattern hiding ٠
- Revocable access
- Forward secrecy ٠











# **Syntax** WHAT MAKES A CLOUD STORAGE A CLOUD STORAGE?

### **Core Functionality**

- Register (create account)
- Authenticate (log in)
- Put (upload a file)
- Update (modify content)
- Get (download)
- Share
- Accept (receive share)





INTERACTIVE

PROTOCOLS

#### **Core Functionality**

- Register (create account)
- Authenticate (log in)
- Put (upload a file)
- Update (modify content)
- Get (download)
- Share
- Accept (receive share)

#### **Model Choices**

• Non-atomic operations  $\longrightarrow$  FAITHFUL TO REAL-WORLD SYSTEMS





### Security Notions MALICIOUS SERVER SETTING

#### Threat model:

- Malicious cloud provider
- Trusted 00B-channels between honest users
- Trusted client code

#### Adversary capabilities:

- Control client protocol steps (which & when)
- Specify server responses
- Guess honest user passwords
- Compromise users (adaptive/selective)



### Security Notions MALICIOUS SERVER SETTING

#### Integrity:

- Wins if adversary can, for an honest user,
  - 1. inject a file, or
  - 2. modify a file.



### Security Notions MALICIOUS SERVER SETTING

### Integrity:

- Wins if adversary can, for an honest user,
  - 1. inject a file, or
  - 2. modify a file.

### Confidentiality:

- Wins if adversary can, for an honest user,
  - learn any information and distinguish files

IND-CPA-style game



## Security Notions MALICIOUS CLIENT SETTING [ONGOING WORK]

### Threat model:

- Malicious honest cloud provider, malicious clients
- Trusted OOB-channels between honest users
- Trusted client code
- + Trusted client-to-server channels?

#### Adversary capabilities:

- Control client protocol steps (which & when)
- Specify server responses
- Guess honest user passwords
- Compromise users (adaptive/selective)



### **Security Notions** MALICIOUS CLIENT SETTING [ONGOING WORK]

INFEASIBLE IN MALICIOUS SERVER SETTING!

### Additional goals: $\smile$

- Authentication & authorization
- No offline dictionary attacks on pw
- Availability for honest user files



### Are We Done? E2EE CLOUD STORAGE • Syntax 🗸 ELUSIVE GOAL Security notions 🗸 • sync.com MEGA Proton Drive Nextcloud

### Are We Done?

- Syntax 🗸 •
- Security notions 🗸 •



# 2. Constructing E2EE Cloud Storage













October 15, 2024. Matilda Backendal, Miro Haller



### The Future of E2EE Cloud Storage

### The good news

- Confidentiality
- Integrity
- Interactive protocols

#### FUTURE WORK The <del>bad news</del>

- PW-based key hierarchy
- Mandatory identity provider
- Functionality match? T
- Adaptive security proof



### A Formal Treatment of End-to-End Encrypted Cloud Storage

Matilda Backendal, Hannah Davis, Felix Günther, Miro Haller, Kenny Patersonmbackendal@inf.ethz.chmhaller@ucsd.edu



eprint.iacr.org/2024/989

